

Default Question Block

Civil Voices: Researching not-for-profit advocacy in Australia

This survey seeks to better understand the challenges and opportunities facing not-for-profit organisations in Australia that contribute to advocacy and public policy debate.

The findings of this research, being carried out by academics at the University of Melbourne, will be used by Pro Bono Australia in collaboration with the Human Rights Law Centre to stimulate public discussion on these issues, and will also be published in academic journals.

We are planning to publish the findings in a report by the end of October.

By giving just 10-15 minutes of your time you can become part of a wider conversation that is of vital importance to the social sector.

The survey can be saved and returned to if need be, your identity will remain anonymous and your response to these questions will be de-identified from any identifying features that you choose to supply.

Unless otherwise stated, all questions relate to the federal government when government is mentioned.

We thank you for your time and for sharing your insights on this important topic.

PART A: YOUR ORGANISATION

What would you describe as the main field in which your organisation is working? (Multiple choices allowed)

Conservation and environment	Youth services/issues
Law, justice and human rights	Family services/issues
Social justice	Children's services/issues
Social welfare	LGBTIQ services/issues

What methods does your organisation use to get your message heard? (Answer all please)

	Never	Sometimes	About half the time	Most of the time	Always
Communication with members (ie hardcopy newsletter)					
Social media					
Organise public meetings					
Communication with members (ie online - website, blog)					
Organise public protests					
Participate in delegations to minister, shadow ministers etc.					
Media releases					
Publication of research / reports / data					

	Never	Sometimes	About half the time	Most of the time	Always		
Submissions to government inquiries							
Letters to the editor							
Does your organisation	Ooes your organisation use social media to get your message heard?						
✓ Yes✓ No							
What effects do you fin	d from usir	ng social med	ia for messa	ging? (Answe	r all please		
	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never		
Leads to engagement with our key stakeholders							
Leads to mainstream media attention (story in print, radio, TV)							
Leads to new members							

	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never
Negative feedback ie trolling					
Other effects					
Leads to increased followers					
Message spreads widely					
Gets attention from politicians					
What social media plat	tform do you	u most use?			
Twitter					
Facebook					
LinkedIn					
Instagram					
Snapchat					

How do you measure social media's effectiveness for your organisation?

Do you use social media (any form) for oth apply)	ner online activities? (List as many as
Fundraising (crowd sourcing donations) Communication with (existing) members	Support through online petitions Other
Finding new supporters	
Does your organisation ever partner with to GetUp, trade unions, lobby groups or peal	third-party campaigning organisations like k organisations?
Yes	
○ No	
Can you tell us which organisation and demade you more or less effective as advocaorganisation?	
	//

Who would you describe as your main audience for your key policy messages? (Answer as many as apply)

	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never
State shadow ministers					
Federal government ministers					
Bureaucrats					
Other					
Donors (philanthropists etc.)					
State government ministers					
Federal shadow ministers					
Mainstream media					
Other politicians					

Thinking about current federal government policy with which your organisation is concerned, in general, are your organisation's key messages:

	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never
Supportive of government policy	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc
Critical of government policy	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc
Not related to government policy	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	

Thinking about the relevant policies of the previous federal Labor government (ie before the 2013 election) in general, were your organisation's key messages:

	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never
Supportive of government policy	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Critical of government policy	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Not related to government policy	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

Thinking about the last 12 months how successful do you feel that your organisation has been in having your key messages heard by federal government? (Please move

the slider accordingly)

Not effective at all Moderately successful Extremely successful 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 = complete success

Over past years do you feel that your organisation has become more or less successful in having your messages heard than at present?

	In last FIVE years		In last 1	0 years
	more successful	less successful	more successful	less successful
Having message heard	0	0	0	\bigcirc

How can you tell?		

What do you perceive to be the main barriers to getting your organisation's message heard? (Please answer all)

	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Never
Mainstream media not interested	\circ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc
Community not interested	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Government not interested	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Lack of networks with decision-makers	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Opposition from vested interests	\circ	\circ	\circ	0	\bigcirc
Internal (board/ management) pressure to do things quietly	\circ			0	\circ
Too much noise – ie competition for attention from similar organisations	\bigcirc			0	\circ
Your funding agreement restricts ability to comment on government policy	\circ			0	\circ
Lack of analytical resources	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc

	Always	Most of the time	About half the time	Sometimes	Neve				
Lack of media liaison resources	\bigcirc				\bigcirc				
PART B: YOUR ORGA	NISATION A	ND CONSULT	ATION						
Have you ever been in	Have you ever been involved in a government consultation process?								
Yes		No		Not applic	able				
				\bigcirc					
What form did this cor	nsultation ta	ike? (Choose	as many as a	apply)					
Roundtable meeting									
Focus group									
Written submission									
Appearance at a gover	nment inquiry/	hearing							
	Other								

At what stage do you think you were asked to participate in consultations with government?

Early stage (before policies made)
Middle stage (policies made but details to be decided)
Late Stage (at implementation stage for final policy decisions)
What recourses did your argenization need to participate effectively in this process
What resources did your organisation need to participate effectively in this process (eg preparation/research, liaison with members, employing consultant, travel funds
etc.)? Please state whether the example relates to a state or federal government
process.
Was government assistance provided in order to meet the costs of being involved in their consultation process?
Yes, costs fully met
O Partially
○ No

How did your participation in this process come about? (Mark any that apply)

Which government?

state federal

Invitation from government

Own initiative

Responded to call for submission

Other

Other

How would you describe your experience of consultation with the government over the last FIVE years? (Please answer all)

0 20 40 60 80 100

Not meaningful (0) to Highly meaningful (100)

Expensive (0) No cost (100)

No policy shift (0) to Policy shifts (100)

Harmed relationship with government (0) to Improved relationship (100) Not enthusiastic about future consults (0) to very enthusiastic (100)

Were any concerns that your organisation raised during this process reflected in current government policy?

Yes	N/A	No
		\bigcirc
f yes, in what ways?		

PART C: FUNDING

Does your organisation currently receive any government funding for core operations or individual projects?

Yes, fully funded for core operations (state)	Yes partially funded for core operations (federal)
---	--

(state)

Yes, specific project funding (state)

No (state)

No (federal)

Yes, specific project funding (federal)

If applicable, does your funding agreement restrict your organisation's capacity to make public comment on government policy?

Yes

No

 \Box

 \bigcirc

What are these restrictions?

Has your organisation had its government funding cut/reduced or increased in the past 10 years?

	Funding cuts		Funding	g gains	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Don't Know
Federal	0	0	0	\circ	0

	Funding cuts		Funding	g gains	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Don't Know
State	0	0	0	0	0

ling? Were ot	ther reasons g	jiven?		the cessat
				li
•	unding and di	d it come with	conditions on yo	our public
		ou gain this funding and di		ou gain this funding and did it come with conditions on yo

Does your organisation have Deductible Gift Recipient (DGR) status?

Yes

O No

Is having DGR status essential to your organisation's financial well-being?
YesNo
Are you concerned that the way in which your organisation engages in policy debate/advocacy might affect your DGR status?
✓ Yes✓ No
Please describe the activities that your organisation could undertake that you believe might negatively affect your DGR status.

Does anxiety about maintaining your organisation's DGR status affect decisions about whether to engage in public debate/advocacy?

No consideration Primary consideration
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

(100 = primary consideration)

Block 1

Why/why not?

PART D: GOVERNMENT ATTITUDE TO POLICY DEBATE

In your area of interest, what do you think is the current federal government's attitude to debate? (Slide to where you see fit: 0= silence, 100 = encouraged)

	Actively								Actively	/
	silenced			Т	he san	ne		en	courag	ed
0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100

Thinking about your answer above, has this attitude changed with different governments?

Please use sliding scale to show. If debate has improved please place the slider above 50, if it has decreased please place slider below 50. (Leave the slider on 50 if it is the same)

Government attitude to Government debate much attitude debate The same much improved poorer 10 100 0 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 Since 2016 (Turnbull government) Since 2013 (Abbott government) Since 2010 (Gillard government)

Please indicate your response to each of the following statements:

Current Australian political culture encourages public debate

▼ ▼

Economic power and strong vested interests are major drivers of government policy						
NGOs are pressured to amend public statements to be in line with government policy	▼					
The Australian media fosters a tolerant political culture	•					
Individuals/organisations that dissent from current government policy are valued as part of a robust democracy	▼					
Dissenting organisations and individuals risk having their funding cut	•					
Dissenting organisations risk losing their DGR status	•					
The Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission is of great benefit to the sector						
Australian debate is politically polarised between the left and right ideological spectrum	•					
Please respond to this statement: Philanthropists would rather fund over advocacy activities by NGOs.	service delivery					
Strongly agree Somewhat agree Neither agree nor Somewhat disagree disagree	Strongly disagree					

In your opinion, how well do you think democratic principles are upheld in Australia?

Strongly upheld Somewhat upheld Somewhat disregarded Strongly disregarded

Do you think that Australian institutions are taking appropriate action to guarantee civic space in Australia?

Taking strong action Taking action Neutral Probably not Definitely not

In general, do you feel that the people in government are too often interested in looking after themselves, or do you feel that they can be trusted to do the right thing nearly all the time?

- Usually look after themselves
- Sometimes look after themselves
- Sometimes can be trusted to do the right thing
- Usually can be trusted to do the right thing

Would you say the government is run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

- Entirely run for the big interests
- Mostly run for the big interests

	tors that make the communicating the			sier one than
O No			Yes	
Do you work please name		in other countri	ies that are simil	ar to yours? (If yes,
Entirely run	for the benefit of all			
Mostly run fo	or the benefit of all			
() About half a	and half			

PART E: ABOUT YOU

Finally some questions about you. This information will <u>remain confidential</u> and will not be used to identify you in any way.

What is your age group?

Generally speaking, which political party do you feel closest to?

How do you rate your support (in the House of Reps) for the party identified in the above question?

Very strong - I never change my vote
Moderately strong - I rarely change my vote
Not very strong - I change my vote
I have no party that I support

profit advocacy in Australia?

What is the name of your organisation? (Optional and confidential)

Any other comments? Anything else you'd like to say about Civil Voices and not-for-

Powered by Qualtrics

10/23/2017